

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/920,586	VAILLANT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sherali Ishrat	2624	

All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) Jay Chaskin.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 May 2006

Time: 12:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

21-24

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that independent claims 1 and 5 were indicated allowable over prior art of record. Furthermore Applicant has corrected independent claims as recommended by the Examiner. However newly added dependent claims 21-24 are improper because they are non-statutory, claims recite "A program storage device readable by machine. Claims should recite computer instead of machine. In order to place the application in condition for allowance, Applicant's Attorney requested Examiner to make changes to the claims by an examiner's amendment.